01-05-07

10:52AM

FROM-MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.CENTRAL FAX CENTERS -342-8201

JAN 0 5 2007 Merchant & Gould

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

T-564 P.001/003 F-729

Merchant & Gould P.C. 701 Fifth Avenue Suite 4100 Seattle, WA 98104-7097

A Professional Corporation

Fax Transmission

January 5, 2007

TO:

Attn: Examiner Thomas J. Dailey
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

FROM: Ryan T. Grace
OUR REF: 50037.200US01

Total pages, including cover letter: 3

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-571-273-8300

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 206.342.6200, or fax us at 206.342.6201.

Title of Document Transmitted: Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Applicant: Robert R. O'Brien et al.

Serial No.: <u>10/679,819</u> Filed: <u>October 6, 2003</u> Group Art Unit: <u>2196</u>

Our Ref. No. 50037.200US01 Confirmation No. 3404

Name: Ryan T. Grace

Reg. No.: 52,956

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Tracy Gutscher

Signature

Date

GEN033.DOT

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

JAN 0 5 2007

Application No.: 10/679,819 Examiner: Dailey	Art Unit: 2196	st Named Applicant: O'Brien Status of Application: Pending						
Tentative Participants: (1) Examiner O'Brien (3)	· (2)	Ryan Grace						
Proposed Date of Interview: Please call Proposed Time: Please call								
Type of Interview Requested:								
(1) [x] Telephonic (2) { Personal	(3) [] Video Confer	енсе						
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] Yes [] No If yes, provide brief description:								

Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues	Claims/ Fig. #'s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Ind. Cla	aims in view of Porter	[]	[]	[]		
(2)		[]	[]	[]		
(3)		[]	[]	[]		
(4) [] Continus	ation Sheet Attached	[1	[]	[]		

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the following combination of features that are not taught or otherwise suggested by the cited references:

a voice mail switch that is configured to receive an event and an identifier associated with the event; and

a notification server coupled to the voice mail switch that is configured to perform actions including:

receiving the event and the identifier;

generating a personal unique identifier (PUID) that identifies a subscriber registered with the notification server;

correlating the identifier associated with the event with the PUID that identifies the subscriber registered with the notification server,

generating an alcri; and

sending the alert to the subscriber indicating that the event occurred.

10:52AM

206-342-6201

Applicants assert that Porter does not teach the above combination of features. The Office Action states that "Porter's 'mailbox number' reads on the PUID." Office Action, at pg. 4. Porter teaches a system for remotely accessing a mailbox by the Internet. The user enters a URL of the voicemail system and the server associated with the URL sends a HTML file to the user as shown in FIGURE 4. The user then enters a mailbox number to access the mailbox. When the user wants to access another mailbox associated with a different phone, the user must start the process over. Porter does not teach collective message access and alerts from several message stores. In the system of Porter the use must access each store independently. The PUID recited in the claim is not the same as the mailbox number of Porter. Claim 1 specifically recites "generating a personal unique identifier (PUID) that identifies a subscriber registered with the notification server." Here, the PUID identifies the subscriber. Such identification allows association with a plurality of stores. For example, a first store might be associated with a home phone and a second store might be associated with a cell phone. Applicants can find no teaching in Porter of a PUID that identifies the subscriber. Also, applicants can find no teaching of "correlating the identifier associated with the event with the PUID that identifies the subscriber registered with the notification server." Again, this feature allows events from several stores to be associated with the subscriber. Accordingly, applicants assert that claim 1 is allowable over Porter.

An interview was conducted on the above-identific	. NOTE: This	
form should be completed by applicant and submit	tted to the examiner in advance	of the interview (see
MPEP § 713.01).		or mo microren (see
This application will not be delayed from issue be	cause of applicant's failure to sul	hmit a written record of
this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to f	file a statement of the substance	of this interview (37 CFR
1.137(b)) he suot as possible.	•	•
Tran 1 Hamme		
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature	Examiner/SPE Signature	
_Ryan T. Grace		
Typed Printed Name of Applicant or Representative		•
V		
_52,956		
Registration Number if applicable	,	